

1 J. MITCHELL COBEAGA, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 00177
3 JOSEPH R. MARIDON, JR., ESQ.
4 Nevada Bar No. 08561
5 THE COBEAGA LAW FIRM
228 South 4th Street, 3rd Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 240-2499
Facsimile: (702) 240-2489

6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9
10 MYKALAI KONTILAI,)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.) Case No. 2:09-cv-01374-KJD-GWF
13 AJB INVESTMENTS LLC,)
14 ALBERT J. BRUNO, and)
15 ROBERT J. BRUNO)
Defendants,)

16
17 **MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND**
18 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

19 Plaintiff, MYKALAI KONTILAI, by and through his counsel of record, the Cobeaga Law
20 Firm, does hereby move this honorable Court to reconsider its Order of December 21, 2009 (10)
21 dismissing this matter for failure to serve Defendants in compliance with Rule 4(m) and files proof
22 of service of Defendants Robert J. Bruno and AJB Investments, LLC. This motion is made and
23 based on Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4(l)(3) and Local Rule 5-1(c).

24 **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

25 **I.**

26 **INTRODUCTION**

27 The Court issued its Order to file proof of service on December 2, 2009 (9). The Court then
28 dismissed this matter, pursuant to its Order on December 21, 2009 (10). However, the Summons

1 and Complaint were served on Defendants Robert J. Bruno and AJB Investments, LLC on July 31,
 2 2009. **Exhibit 1.** While the Defendants have not made an appearance in this matter as of yet, the
 3 parties have been working toward a resolution which will eliminate the need for further court
 4 intervention. If the case remains closed, the Plaintiff will be forced to file and serve a second
 5 Complaint which could potentially de-rail the settlement negotiations. On the other hand, if the
 6 case is permitted to continue, Plaintiff anticipates that a voluntary dismissal will follow within the
 7 next thirty days.

8 **II.**

9 **LEGAL AUTHORITY**

10 FRCP 4(l)(3) states that “Failure to prove service does not affect the validity of service. The
 11 court may permit proof of service to be amended.” Furthermore, LR 5-1(c) states, “Failure to make
 12 the proof of service required by this rule does not affect the validity of the service. Unless material
 13 prejudice would result, the court may at any time allow the proof of service to be amended or
 14 supplied.”

15 In this case, service was accomplished on July 31, 2009. However, Plaintiff’s counsel
 16 inadvertently failed to file proof of service, and apologizes for doing so, in the time frame ordered
 17 by the Court (9). Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court accept the Proof of Service attached
 18 hereto and allow this case to continue.

19 DATED this 21st day of December, 2009.

20
 21 THE COBEAGA LAW FIRM

22 _____
 23 /s/ *Joseph R. Maridon, Jr.*
 24 J. MITCHELL COBEAGA, ESQ.
 25 Nevada Bar No. 00177
 26 JOSEPH R. MARIDON, JR., ESQ.
 27 Nevada Bar No. 08561
 28 228 South Fourth Street
 Las Vegas, NV 89101
 228 South Fourth Street
 Las Vegas, NV 89101

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing CERTIFICATE AS TO INTERESTED PARTIES was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

DATED this 21st day of December, 2009.

THE COBEAGA LAW FIRM

/s/ Joseph R. Maridon, Jr.
J. MITCHELL COBEAGA, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 00177
JOSEPH R. MARIDON, JR., ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 08561
228 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
228 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101